A novel approach towards vibration suppression in linear direct feed drive
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Abstract

Linear direct feed drives are widely used in high performance equipment and machine tools. However, the abrupt counter force to the machine frame from the secondary part of the linear motor induces possible residue vibration. The jerk decoupling cartridge (JDC) provides a buffer to reduce such an impact, but no existing JDC design considers the factors of closed-loop control together with mechanical parameters, as well as how to obtain optimal parameters under various mechanical and electrical design constraints. This paper presents a linear quadratic optimization approach to design the controller and the JDC. The problem is correctly formulated and the correctness of obtained optimal parameters is verified through a series of simulation.
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1. Introduction

Linear direct feed drive is an excellent choice to meet the requirement of high speed, ultra-high accuracy and improved reliability due to the simplicity of its mechanical structure. One major disadvantage is the jerk dependent reaction force on the secondary part of the linear motor [1]. In this way, the abrupt counter force to the machine frame from the secondary part induces residue vibration. Jerk decoupling technique is a popular approach to decouple the reaction force from the machine frame, where a spring-damper system is implemented between the secondary part of the linear motor and the machine frame as a buffer to reduce residue vibration [2]. In this extended abstract, the jerk decoupling technique is applied and a constrained linear quadratic optimization method is used to design the controller and the JDC concurrently.

2. System modelling

A mechanical linear direct drive mounted on a machine structure through JDC is shown in Figure 1. In this model, masses of the primary and the secondary part of the linear motor are represented by \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). Spring constant, damper coefficient, force constant and back EMF constant are represented by \( k_2, b_2, K_f, b_{12} \) respectively. Jerk decoupling force applied to the machine frame is represented by \( f \). Current and terminal voltage of the linear motor are represented by \( i \), and \( u_m \). Notations \( y_i \), \( y_j \), \( \ddot{y}_i \) represent the displacement, the velocity and the acceleration of \( m_i \), where \( i = 1, 2 \).

By ignoring the bearing friction, equations of motion can be expressed as follows:

\[
F = m_1 \ddot{y}_1
\]

\[
F = K_f \cos(\omega y_2)(t)
\]

\[
-F - f = m_2 \dot{y}_2
\]

\[
f = k_2 y_2 + b_2 \dot{y}_2
\]

\[
u_m(t) = b_{12}(y_1 - y_2) + R(t) + L di/dt
\]

It is assumed that electrical time constant is much smaller than mechanical time constant and the motion of \( m_2 \) is small enough compared to its magnetic pole pair length. In other words, \( \cos(\omega y_2) \) and \( L di/dt \) are ignored.

The objective is to design the PID feedback controller, feed-forward controller and JDC concurrently to minimize the vibration, meanwhile taking care of other design factors. To seek the optimal trade-off among primary part tracking error \( \ddot{y}_1 \), secondary part vibration \( y_2 \), terminal voltage \( u_m \) and jerk decoupling force \( f \), the functional cost \( J \) is to be optimized, where

\[
J = \int_0^T \left( q_{11} \ddot{y}_1^2 + q_{22} y_2^2 + r_{11} u_m^2 + r_{22} u_m^2 + r_{33} f^2 + r_{44} f^2 \right) dt
\]

In the cost function, \( u_m \) and \( f \) are the derivatives of \( u_m \) and \( f \) respectively, they are used as augmented inputs for linear quadratic problem formulation and optimization stage only.
3. Problem formulation

Define the state vector:

\[ x^T = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \ y_4 \ y_5 \ y_6 \end{bmatrix} \]

The state space is given by:

\[ \dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \ y = Cx \]

Define \( C = I - C^T(CC^T)^{-1}C \), then \( \tilde{y} = Cx \), where \( \tilde{y} \) is the part of the state vector that is not seen by \( y = Cx \). The cost function is re-formulated by adding in quadratic term of \( \tilde{y} \) into the original cost function, which aims to convert output feedback to state feedback. The augmented system is represented by:

\[ x_a = A_ax_a + B_au \]

The cost function of the augmented system is re-written as:

\[ J_1 = \int_0^T (x_a^T Q x_a + u^T Ru) \]

The decentralized composite controller is given by:

\[ u = k_a x_\alpha \]

For the primary part, a PID controller is implemented together with a feed-forward controller. For the secondary part, JDC is used for regulating purpose and it is regarded as a mechanical PD controller. The block diagram of the control system is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Schematic of JDC with 2-DOF control](image)

Under the assumption of randomly uniform distribution of initial state errors \( x_a(0) \), the cost function \( J_1 \) is equivalent to:

\[ J_2(K_a) = \text{trace} \left\{ \int_0^T e^{(A_a + B_a k_a)T} (Q_a + k_a^T R_k_a) e^{(A_a + B_a k_a)T} dt \right\} \]

The optimization problem is to minimize the cost \( J_2(K_a) \), subjected to two constraints: \( k_{z1} = 0 \) (no position feedforward term for the system with at least one inherent integrator) and \( A_a + B_a k_a < 0 \) (keep the closed-loop stability of the system).

4. Simulation

Standard linear quadratic optimization is based on full state feedback, but in the actual feed drive, both of the force feedback from mechanical joints and the controller feedback are only based on part of states, so there is no standard closed-form solution to the optimization problem. To solve the nonlinear optimization problem, fmincon solver and interior-point algorithm are used for optimization in MATLAB. Weighting matrices \( Q \) and \( R \) are chosen based on Bryson's Rule [3]:

\[ Q = \text{diag} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \right), \ R = \text{diag} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_1^2 \\ u_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \]

where \( x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2, u_3 \) and \( u_4 \) are maximum acceptable values of \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \), \( u_1, u_2, u_3 \) and \( u_4 \) are maximum acceptable values of \( u_m \), \( u_m \), \( f \) and \( f \) respectively. \( x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2, u_3 \) and \( u_4 \) are specified according to design specifications, where \( x_1 = 0.03, x_2 = 0.03, u_1 = 200, u_3 = 500 \). As \( u_m \) and \( f \) are not considered in design specifications, \( u_2 \) and \( u_4 \) can be set large to make their weightings small. In the simulation, weighting matrices are chosen as: \( Q = \text{diag}(3 \times 10^{-5}, 6 \times 10^{-11}, 4 \times 10^{-10}, 6 \times 10^{-12}) \). They are regarded as the starting point of a trial-and-error iterative design procedure to obtain desirable design specifications.

It follows that optimal composite gain matrix \( K_a \) is given by:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & -569 & -109 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.7 & -1.3 & -0.4 \\
0 & 0 & -569 & -109 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.7 & -1.3 & -0.4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 968 & 262 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 968 & 262 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 968 & 262 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 968 & 262 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 968 & 262 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 968 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

which achieves an optimal functional cost \( J_2(K_a) = 7.0 \times 10^3 \).

Consider the AUM4-S4 parallel type linear motor, simulation results of primary part tracking error, secondary part vibration, terminal voltage, derivative of terminal voltage, jerk decoupling force and derivatives of jerk decoupling force are shown in Figure 3 respectively. It shows that optimal gain matrix gives good performance in tracking accuracy and vibration.

![Figure 3. Simulation results of proposed control system](image)

5. Conclusion

This paper presented a systematic methodology to design the controller and the JDC for high-speed feed drive positioning. The cost function was initiated with consideration of various design factors. The derivative of the terminal voltage and the derivative of the jerk decoupling force were assumed as two inputs for linear quadratic problem formulation and optimization stage. The constrained linear quadratic optimization problem was correctly formulated, controller parameters and JDC parameters were optimized. Simulation results showed that obtained optimal parameters gave good tracking performance and small vibration of the linear motor.
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